	STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE HAMMOND CITY C	COURT	
	COUNTY OF LAKE)SS)	SITTING IN HAMMOND, II	NDIANA	
	CITY OF HAMMOND, Plaintiff, vs. Eutimo Zavala Defendan))) ts) JUDGMENT AND C		2014.005942	
	The Court, being o	duly advised, finds as	follows:		
	1 The condi	tion of the property	designated below was in violation of	the ordinances,	CF)
	of the City of Hammond, Inc	Do	cument is		
	-	NOT	OFFICIAL!		Production of the control of the con
		the Lake	ment of the City of Hammond has a		The second secon
	owner of record at least ten			on after the \(\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	
	failure of the owner to do so	o; and c) incurred th	e cost designated below.		
	3 The Code	Enforcement Depart	ment of the City of Hammond, India	na, issued a	
bill for said expenses to the owner and said bill has not been paid.					
	4 Judgment	is entered against de	efendant in the amount of \$	13 2.0 0	
	court costs of \$118.00	city fee of	\$75.00 and the county fee c	\$20.0 0 for	
a total amount of \$345.00 and said amount shall be placed on the tax duplicate					
	5950 White Oak		arcel Number: 45-07-06-280-0)23.00 0-023	
				JW6	411
			Nathan Foster Hammond City Court		46