STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT ROOM NUMBER TWO
COUNTY OF LAKE)	Sitting in East Chicago, Indiana
NANCY ALMASON and J Plaintiffs	(AMES O'NEAL,)	
vs.)	CAUSE NO: 45D02-1103-PL-14
JOSEPH SCIBOR, MARK SCIBOR, Deceased,) TIMOTHY J. SCIBOR, LAURA LYNN)		Filed in Open Court
VERBICH, and MARY KA Defendants		MAY 09 2812

JUDGMENT QUIETING TITLE SUPER

This cause came to be heard upon Plaintiffs' Complaint to Quiet Title. Being duly advised in the premises, the Court now finds as follows:

- Pursuant to I.C. 32-30-3-14(a) this is an action to quiet title to real 1. Document is estate.
- The Defendants herein are persons whose name appears of record in a concerning the real estate. All of the Defendants herein have been served either by Sheriff or certified mail except for Defendant Mark Scibor who is deceased. His heirs, Mark Scibor, Jr. and Jason Scibor have been served with pursuant to I.C. 32-30-3-14(b)(2)(C).
- Plaintiffs have brought this action against because there exists a cloud upon the title of the reaction issue due to a defective or inaccurate legal description of the real estate pursuant to I.C. 32-30-3-14(c).
- That the Complaint includes all of the necessary requirements $\#_{13}$ 4. contained in I.C. 32-30-3-14(d) and (e).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

028029

- 1. Joseph Scibor and Timothy Scibor have actual notice of the pendency of this action and have not alleged any defense thereto.
- 2. That the heirs of Mark Scibor, deceased, have actual notice of the pendency of this action and have chosen to not contest same.
- 3. That the Defendant Laura Lynn Verbich and Mary Kaye Toma have settled separately with the Plaintiffs and have quit-claimed any interest they may have in the real estate to the Plaintiffs.
 - 4. That the real estate at issue is described as follows:

PARCEL 2: That part of Government Lot 5, in Section 32, Township 37 North, Range 9 West of the Second Principal Meridian, in the City of East Chicago, Lake County, Indiana, described as commencing at the intersection of the Southwesterly line of Carroll Street with the South Line of said Section 32; thence Northwesterly along the Southerly Line of Carroll Street, a distance of 648.60 feet; thence Southwesterly at right angles to said Carroll Street, a distance of 150.00 fee to the point of beginning of this description; thence Southeasterly parallel with said Carroll Street, a distance of 78.00 feet; thence Southwesterly at right angles to said Carroll Street, a distance of 26.00 feet; thence Northwesterly parallel with said Carroll Street, a distance of 78.00 feet; thence Northwesterly at right angles to said Carroll Street, a distance of 26.00 feet; thence Northeasterly at right angles to said Carroll Street, a distance of 26.00 feet; thence Northeasterly at right angles to said Carroll Street, a distance of 26.00 feet to the place of beginning.

Commonly known as: 1411 Carroll Street East Chicago, Indiana

5. That the above description was inadvertently omitted from a transaction entered into by all of the Defendant on March 21, 2008.

6. Accordingly, any interest or encumbrance Defendants or their heirs may have upon the property described above is extinguished.

SO ORDERED this _

_ day of ________

, 2011.

JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, ROOM NUMBER TWO