IN THE PORTER SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF INDIANA SITTING AT VALPARAISO, INDIANA COUNTY OF PORTER

JOSHUA J. COOPER b/n/f JAMES E. COOPER and SHANNON COOPER, Parents and Natural Guardians and Individually,

PLAINTIFFS,

-vs-

64D02-9505-CT-1078

TIMOTHY C. SIEMAN,

DEFENDANT

This Document is the property of

Come now the Plaintiffs in Court, in person and byon Visvaldis P. Kupsis and Jeffrey J. Shaw. Comes now theon Defendant in Court, in person and by counsel Jeffrey S. Sturm. Cause is submitted for continuation of jury trial.

Witnesses are sworn, evidence is heard. Plaintiff rests. Defendant, out of the presence of the jury, orally moves for judgement on the evidence. Court hears arguments as to each Count of the Complaint, to-wit:

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE - Arguments. Motion is denied.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRES Arguments. Motion is denied.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE (LATENT DEFECTS) - Arguments. Plaintiff agrees to merge this Count with Count I.

COUNT IV: NEGLIGENCE (RES IPSA LOQUITUR) - Arguments. Court reserves ruling on this issue.

COUNT V: NEGLIGENCE (STRICT LIABILITY) - Arguments. Motion is

granted.

<u>COUNT VI: NEGLIGENCE (PER SE) - Plaintiff agrees. Motion is granted.</u>

<u>COUNT VII:</u> BREACH OF CONTRACT (IMPLIED WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY) - Arguments. Motion is denied.

Defendant's witnesses and evidence. Defendant rests. No rebuttal evidence. Court now denies Defendant's Motion For Judgment on Count IV. Plaintiff moves to dismiss Count IV of the Complaint. Motion is granted. Plaintiff makes oral Motion for Judgment on the issue of Defendant being a professional landlord. Arguments are heard. Motion is the property of heard. Motion usy denied der Plaintiff moves for judgment on the issue of privity. Court denies motion. Defendant renews his motion for directed verdict on all Counts previously denied. Court denies motions.

Closing arguments are now made to the jury. Court gives final instructions as follows, forwit: (H.I.) Indiana Pattern Jury Thstructions Nos. 9.03, 1.05, 1.09, 5.05, 3.13, 3.15, 3.17, 3.31, 3.33, 4.01, 4.02, 4.03, 4.04, 5.01, 5.03, 5.06, 5.25, 5.41, 25.31 modified, 25.33 modified; also Plaintiff proposed Nos. 1, 2, 5, 7 as modified and 8; also Defendant's proposed Nos. 7, 9 and 10; also Court's Instruction No. 1.

Court reads and submits Comparative Fault Calculations

Form along with four possible Verdicts. Deliberations are

commenced and concluded. Jury now returns into open Court with the

following verdicts, to-wit: (H.I.)

"COMPARATIVE FAULT CALCULATIONS FORM

If you find the Defendant is not at fault, you should proceed to Verdict Form "B" which should be signed and dated by the jury Foreperson.

If you find the Defendant was at fault, you should attribute

100%

fault as follows:

Percentage of fault attributable to defendant
Percentage of fault attributable to plaintiff
James E. Cooper and SHANNON Cooper, Parents

If you found that the plaintiff parents' fault, if any, was more than fifty percent (50%) of the total fault involved in the incident that proximately caused the plaintiff's injuries, you are to find that the defendant is not liable to the plaintiff parents. You should proceed to Verdict Form "B" which should be dated and signed by the jury Foreperson FFICIAL.

However, if you found that the plaintiff parents' fault, if any, was fifty (50%) of Cless than the Ptotal fault involved in the incident that proximately keaused the Plaintiff parents' injuries, and you found any percentage of fault was attributable to the defendant, you are to find the defendant liable to the plaintiff parents. You should proceed to Verdict Form "A" which should be dated and signed by the jury foreman.

(SIGNED) JOE WALKER, FOREPERSON

DATE: September 26, 1996"

and,

WERDICT FOR PLAINTIFF JOSHUA J. COOPER

We, the Jury, find against the Defendant and on behalf of Joshua J. Cooper and assess Joshua J. Cooper's damages in the sum of (\$525.000.00) Five Hundred Twenty Five Thousand and Zero Cents.

(SIGNED) JOE WALKER, FOREPERSON

DATE: September 26, 1996"

and,

"VERDICT FORM "A"

We, the Jury, find against the Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff Parents and assess damages in the sum of <u>Sixty four Thousand and no cents</u> (\$64,000.00).

(SIGNED) JOE WALKER, FOREPERSON

DATE: September 26, 1996"

parties request the jury to be polled. Bailiff polls jury. Court enters judgment on the verdicts as rendered. Costs versus Defendant. Jury is now released and discharged.

ALL OF WHICH IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THIS 26TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1996.



REPORTER: LINDA DIAZ

IN TESTIMONY, that the foregoing is a true copy taken from the records of Porter Circuit/Superior Court, I. Dougals W. Olson, Clerk thereof set my hand and affix Seal of Said Court.

CLERK
COUNTY OF PORTER, INDIANA
Deputy Clerk