4 809977

## CITY OF HAMMOND DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

STATE O	F IMDIANA )	SS:		<b>Date</b> 6-2	7 05	
COUNTY	of lake )	<b>.</b>		Date	7-83	<del></del>
	HAMMOND, )				95_057	
Petit	ioner, )			Case No	85-057	
<b>Vs</b> Nancy Ames	)					
Namey Ames 8237 Highland Munster, IN 4633 Eladio & Carmen I 841 Indiana Hammond, IN 4633 Respond	Ramirez )	<u>F)</u>	INDING OF FAC	T AND ACTI	ON TAKEN	
Co	mes now the D	ivision of	Code Enforce	ement by th	ie Enforcei	ment
Officer	of the Unsaf	e Building	Program, Wil	lliam Bower	s, and the	<b>.</b>
followi	ng respondent	(s) appear		s. Ramirez &	Ms. Ames	
Service	of Motice of	Hearing an	nd Order to C	Comply havi	ng been ma	ade
pursuan	t to I.C. 36-	7-9-25 on				
	nding a copy il certified.		cice and Orde	er by Unite	d States	
pe	rsonal delive	ry of said	Notice and C	order to th	e responde	ent.
	aving a copy usual place				lwelling ho	ouse
	blishing said					<b>1</b> -
	aring on said					ng
1.	The premise	s herein a	re legally de	escribed as		
	Riverside Add	. W. 3 Ft. L.	10 B1. 2 A11 I	L. 11 B1. 2	3 2 53 POLPH ( ECORD	TORRECOM
	in the City	the state of the s	l, Lake Count	<del>-</del>	PH 85	NO.
2.	•	_	844 Michig remises is o		ncy Ames, 82	237
	Highland, Mun		•		and the second	

cally, the List of Code Violations or Repair List attached thereto, made a part thereof and dated		and the following person or entities have an interest therein:
made a part thereof and dated		Eladid & Carmen Ramirez, 844 Indiana, Hammond, IN 46320
made a part thereof and dated	,	The allegations contained in the Order to Comply and, more specifi-
Respondent(s) do(es) not contest(s) the allegations of the Order to Comply. The respondent(s) do(es) not request(s) an extension of time within which to comply with said Order. A cash performance bond is  It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building(s is necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		cally, the List of Code Violations or Repair List attached thereto,
Respondent(s) do(es) not contest(s) the allegations of the Order to Comply. The respondent(s) do(es) not request(s) an extension of time within which to comply with said Order. A cash performance bond is		made a part thereof and dated, are accurate,
Respondent(s) do(es) not contest(s) the allegations of the Order to Comply. The respondent(s) do(es) not request(s) an extension of time within which to comply with said Order. A cash performance bond is		true and correct and exist on the premises as of this date
to Comply. The respondent(s) do(es) not request(s) an extension of time within which to comply with said Order. A cash performance bond is  It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building(s is necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		6-27-85
bond is  It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		Respondent(s) do(es) not contest(s) the allegations of the Order
It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		to Comply. The respondent(s) do(es) not request(s) an extension of
It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since5-29-855-30-85		time within which to comply with said Order. A cash performance
violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, substandard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		bond is
standard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as defined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.  It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		It is, therefore, found that the culmative effect of the code
It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance  Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious  efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had no-  tice and opportunity to do so since		violations present on the premises renders the premises unsafe, sub-
It is further found that major reconstruction of the building (sis necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance  Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since5-29-85 5-30-85  IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ACTION OF THE UNDESIGNED TO:  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Output Mayor Lowell Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Director of Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		standard, and a danger to the health and safety of the public as de-
is necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance  Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious  efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had no-  tice and opportunity to do so since		fined by I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-2.
Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since5-29-855-30-85  IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ACTION OF THE UNDESIGNED TO:  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Agames G. Richmond, Director Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		It is further found that major reconstruction of the building(s)
efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had notice and opportunity to do so since		is necessary to bring the property up to the Property Maintenance
IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ACTION OF THE UNDESIGNED TO:  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  I ames G. Richmond, Director Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		Code of the City of Hammond and that respondent(s) made no serious
Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Affirm an order issued by the Division commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Director Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		efforts to make the property safe, although respondent(s) had no-
Affirm an order issued by the Division of Code Enforcement in having the structure repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.  Additional Commission of Code Enforcement Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		tice and opportunity to do so since $5-29-85-5-30-85$ .
repaired to the specifications made by the Building Commissioner and responsible to return on 7-25-85. Re: Compliance.    Additional Compliance		IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ACTION OF THE UNDESIGNED TO:
James G. Richmond, Director Division of Code Enforcement City of Hammond, Indiana  I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action  Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on the day of,		
Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on theday of		James G. Richmond, Director Division of Code Enforcement
to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on theday of		I certify that a copy of the above Findings of Fact and Action
		Taken was filed with the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, pursuant
1984, and recorded as Document No		to I. C. (1981) 36-7-9-26 on theday of
		1984, and recorded as Document No

## CITY OF HAMMOND, INDIANA DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

CITY OF HAMMOND ) (							
Petitioner )	No. 85-058						
	ORDER TO COMPLY AND NOTICE						
vs )	To Demolish						
Nancy Ames ) 8237 Highland )	Re: 844 Michigan						
Munster, IN 46321 ) Eladio & Carmen Ramirez )	Key # 35-287-58						
841 Indiana ) Hammond, IN Respondent(s) )							
46320	Date: 5-24-85						
TO:	•						
Pursuant to I.C. 36-7-9,	you must repair those items noted on the						
attached list of building code	violations concerning the premises located						
at844 Michigan	, Hammond, Indiana, which has the						
following legal description:	following legal description:						
Riverside Add. W. 3 Ft. L. 10 I	31. 2 all L. 11 B1. 2						
	tely repaired by the 27th day of June						
19_85							
A hearing regarding this o	order will be held in Room 209 of the Hammond						
City Hall, located at 5925 Calu	met Avenue, in the City of Hammond, County of						
Lake, State of Indiana, on the	27th day of June						
19 85 , at 1:30 p.m. o'cl	the state of the s						
You have a right to appear	at the hearing of this cause with or without						
legal counsel. You also have t	legal counsel. You also have the right to present evidence in your favor, to						
cross-examine opposing witnesse	cross-examine opposing witnesses, and to present your views regarding this						
particular matter.							
William a. Sower							

William A. Bowers Unsafe Building Officer

## CITY OF HAMMOND, INDIANA DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

	STATE OF INDIANA				
	COUNTY OF LAKE	, )			
	CITY OF HAMMOND	) )			
	Petitioner	) ) ) )			
	vs.	) )	No	85-058	
Nancy Ames Eladio & Ca	& armen Ramirez	) )			
	Respondent(s)	··	• •		

Due to the structure's deteriorated condition and possibility of the front wall collapsing, the structure has been condemned on 5-23-85.

Because of this you are to demolish the structure to protect the health, safety, and well-being of the public.